

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,426	04/27/2006	Toshio Matsumoto	P29832	4734	
7055 GREENBLUM	7590 08/26/2009 4 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.		EXAMINER VO, HAI		
1950 ROLAN	D CLARKE PLACE				
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/26/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/577,426	MATSUMOTO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Hai Vo	1794

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, application, application, application with the place that application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the (ex. paperpriate extensing the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the (ex. paperpriate extension) as extension and the second of the corresponding amount of the feet. Any reply resident by the Office late of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set (or this (1)) above, if checked, A yre perly resident by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
2 The Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissed of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
Wowly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. \(\subseteq for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \(\subseteq \text{ will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \text{ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. \] The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \[\subseteq \]
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.4-8.15 and 16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9-12 and 14.
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
 because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. \(\simega\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:
/Hai Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The art rejections have been maintained for the following reasons. Applicants contend that the combined teachings of the cited references are not sufficient to establish a prima facic case of obviousness because there are no reasons to modify or combine the references' teachings. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Both represents the properties of the p